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Abstract

Gene expression and its regulation are fundamental processes in cellular proliferation and differentiation, especially in morphogenesis and embryogenesis. Real-time gene expression analysis for live cells has been performed using a gene promoter assay with luciferase as the luminescent reporter. However, the imaging of the promoter activity by microscopy is limited, since the intensity of the luminescence emitted from cells is too weak. To resolve this problem, a bioluminescence microscope was developed that was optimized for low-light imaging. The real-time imaging of gene expression during embryogenesis using bioluminescence microscopy contributes substantially to the elucidation of the phenomena and the interpretation of the mechanisms of developmental biology. For instance, it was elucidated that anisotropic tissue deformation along the proximal-distal axis occurred independently of cell proliferation in the chick limb development based on tissue morphology, cell proliferation, and sonic hedgehog signaling activity using bright field, fluorescence, and bioluminescence microscopies, respectively. However, the number of genes shown is limited by bioluminescence microscopy. Recently, a multi-omics method based on the workflow of systems biology has allowed for the integrated analysis of multiple-layer of organisms, from genes and expression to signaling pathways and metabolism. If image data of the phenotype layer can be incorporated into multi-omics analysis, bioluminescence microscopy could also be used for the integrated analysis of transcriptome and phenotype (morphogenesis or embryogenesis) layers.

Introduction

An organism composed of cells with various morphologies and functions is made from a single fertilized egg during embryogenesis. Therefore, gene expression and its regulation, including signal transduction, are fundamental processes in cellular proliferation and differentiation, especially in morphogenesis and embryogenesis (e.g., Davidson, 1976; Slack, 2001). In Drosophila, the mutation of homeotic genes results in morphological abnormalities were known. Studies on these genes have elucidated the relationships between the regulation of gene expression and morphogenesis related to the determination of the anterior-posterior axis, somitogenesis, and appendage limb formation. Furthermore, these genes have been demonstrated to be involved in morphogenesis and are conserved in mammals and other animals (e.g., Gehring, 1998).

Microscopic technologies have been used in these studies. While morphometry uses conventional bright-field observation, three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction is performed using a laser confocal fluorescence microscope. The structural and functional protein localization is imaged using immunostaining and fluorescence techniques. The study of cellular signal transduction and second messenger, such as Ca\(^{2+}\) or cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP), dynamics is measured in live cells using real-time imaging with a fluorescent sensor probe. Molecular interactions and the translocation of signal transduction factors, such as the translocation of protein kinase C (PKC) from the cytoplasm to the plasma membrane for nuclear factor kappa-light polypeptide B (NF-κB) pathway activation, can be imaged using fluorescence microscopy with green fluorescent protein (GFP) (Goda et al., 2015). In gene expression analysis, however, the whole mount in situ hybridization method is performed using immunostaining and/or fluorescence microscopy. This method can be used to elucidate the detailed localization of cells expressing specific genes, and is applicable for fixed specimens. Therefore, the real-time imaging of gene expression activity in live specimens is required, as it is essential to describe the phenomena and its interpretation for morphogenesis or embryogenesis. Real-time gene expression analysis for live cells has been performed using a gene promoter assay with luciferase as the luminescent reporter. However, the imaging of the promoter activity by
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microscopy is limited, since the intensity of the luminescence emitted from cells is too weak. To resolve this problem, a bioluminescence microscope was developed that was optimized for low-light imaging. This system has been widely used for gene expression analysis in chronobiology (Yagita et al., 2010), neurobiology (Chang et al., 2016), developmental biology (Morishita et al., 2015), medical research (Sramek et al., 2011), signal transduction analysis (Sugiyama et al., 2014), molecular interaction (Compan et al., 2015), and radiation biology (Kiru et al., 2018).

This review briefly summarizes the history of low-light imaging of the promoter assay using luciferase and the specification of a bioluminescence microscope developed with bright luciferase development to improve luminescence imaging. The recent applications of bioluminescence microscopy in developmental biology are also discussed.

**Bioluminescence microscopy for visualizing gene expression**

In the late 1980s, the luciferase gene was first cloned from the American firefly, *Photinus pyralis* (de Wet et al., 1987). Luciferase is commonly used as a reporter enzyme for the promoter assays of gene expression (Brasier et al., 1989; de Wet et al., 1989; Alam and Cook, 1990). In the luciferase reporter assay, since the intensity of emitted light from cell population or cell lysate is measured as total luminescence with a photon-counting luminometer, it is impossible to simultaneously monitor the promoter activity of gene expression and cellular characteristics in the same cell as the image. Therefore, microscopic imaging is essential for the gene expression studies accompanied with cellular differentiation in embryonic development.

Advances in detector and digital imaging processing systems have made it possible for low-light imaging to be carried out using a high-sensitivity charge-coupled device (CCD) camera, such as liquid nitrogen cooled CCD cameras, photon-counting CCD cameras, or image-intensifying CCD cameras (Frawley et al., 1994; Thompson et al., 1995; Maire et al., 2000). This technology has allowed for the real-time analysis of gene expression dynamics within a single cell and elucidated the heterogeneity of gene expression in cultured cells population for the prolactin gene promoter (Castaño et al., 2018), cells population for the prolactin gene promoter (Castaño et al., 2010), neurobiology (Chang et al., 2016), developmental biology (Morishita et al., 2015), medical research (Sramek et al., 2011), signal transduction analysis (Sugiyama et al., 2014), molecular interaction (Compan et al., 2015), and radiation biology (Kiru et al., 2018).

Bioluminescence microscopy for visualizing gene expression

In the late 1980s, the luciferase gene was first cloned from the American firefly, *Photinus pyralis* (de Wet et al., 1987). Luciferase is commonly used as a reporter enzyme for the promoter assays of gene expression (Brasier et al., 1989; de Wet et al., 1989; Alam and Cook, 1990). In the luciferase reporter assay, since the intensity of emitted light from cell population or cell lysate is measured as total luminescence with a photon-counting luminometer, it is impossible to simultaneously monitor the promoter activity of gene expression and cellular characteristics in the same cell as the image. Therefore, microscopic imaging is essential for the gene expression studies accompanied with cellular differentiation in embryonic development.

Advances in detector and digital imaging processing systems have made it possible for low-light imaging to be carried out using a high-sensitivity charge-coupled device (CCD) camera, such as liquid nitrogen cooled CCD cameras, photon-counting CCD cameras, or image-intensifying CCD cameras (Frawley et al., 1994; Thompson et al., 1995; Maire et al., 2000). This technology has allowed for the real-time analysis of gene expression dynamics within a single cell and elucidated the heterogeneity of gene expression in cultured cells population for the prolactin gene promoter (Castaño et al., 2010), neurobiology (Chang et al., 2016), developmental biology (Morishita et al., 2015), medical research (Sramek et al., 2011), signal transduction analysis (Sugiyama et al., 2014), molecular interaction (Compan et al., 2015), and radiation biology (Kiru et al., 2018).

This review briefly summarizes the history of low-light imaging of the promoter assay using luciferase and the specification of a bioluminescence microscope developed with bright luciferase development to improve luminescence imaging. The recent applications of bioluminescence microscopy in developmental biology are also discussed.

**Development of a microscope optimized for bioluminescence imaging**

Although the image sensors of low-light imaging cameras have been greatly improved over time, these improvements have not been made commercially available for microscopes. Because a microscope that has been designed with image quality prioritized over image brightness. In the mid-2000s, microscopes optimized for bioluminescence imaging were commercially released by Olympus (Tokyo, Japan) and Atto (Tokyo, Japan).

Generally, the degree of brightness (I) of an image is directly proportional to the square of the numerical aperture (NA) of the objective lens and inversely proportional to the square of the magnification (M) of the image. This can be represented as 

\[ I \propto \frac{1}{(NA\cdot M)^2} \]

Therefore, a higher NA and lower M yields brighter images. However, it is difficult to obtain both conditions. A higher NA objective lens has a shorter focal-length and, therefore, has a higher M. Thus, a high NA and a low M are mutual trade-offs. However, the value of NA/M is the same as the NA value of the imaging lens (tube lens), geometrically denoted as NA'. Therefore, a microscope with a high NA' (short focal-length imaging lens) allows for a higher NA and lower M without requiring further improvements to the objective lens. Thus, it was found that a higher value of I (I > 0.01) was required for the bioluminescence microscopy of single live cells (Suzuki et al., 2007; Ogoh et al., 2014; Suzuki et al., 2016a). This is the concept on which the design of the bioluminescence microscope was based. Fig. 1 shows the inverted bioluminescence microscope constructed in our studies (Luminoview LV200; Olympus). A stage-top incubator with temperature and CO₂ gas controllers is added to the sample stage. The observation area is covered with a dark box.

Figure 2 shows the bioluminescence images of U2OS cells expressing beetle luciferase CBG99, CBR, and Luc2 (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) at 37°C captured using LV200 and IX70 microscopes (Olympus) with a UPlanFLN 40× oil objective lens (Olympus) and DP70 color CCD camera (Olympus). The exposure times were 2 and 10 min for LV200 (M = 8, I = 0.026) and IX70 (M = 40, I = 0.001), respectively.
Thus, the bioluminescence images of cells expressing the luciferase gene could be captured using an LV200 microscope with a conventional color CCD camera. In this case, the M of the image was reduced by a power of 8 owing to the short focal-length imaging lens with a I value of 0.026. To equalize the I value between the LV200 and IX70 microscopes, a low M and high NA objective lens (e.g., 8 ×, NA 1.3) was required for IX70 (Ogoh et al., 2014; Suzuki et al., 2016a).

To show the spatial resolution of the bioluminescence images acquired using LV200, organelle targeted images were captured using an UPlanFLN microscope (Olympus) and an ImagEM EM-CCD camera (C). The observation area is covered with a dark box. The exposure times were 0.1 and 10 min for LV200 (M = 8, I = 0.026) and IX70 (M = 40, I = 0.001), respectively. D-Luciferin, 1 mM. Scales bar = 100 μm (A) and 20 μm (B). This figure was quoted and modified from Ogoh et al. (2014) and Suzuki et al. (2016a) with open access terms and conditions of Wiley and IntechOpen.

Fig. 3 Bioluminescence images of NanoLuc fused with NLS (A), CoxVIII (B), calreticulin (C), and no targeting sequence (D) in U2OS cells at 37°C. Images were captured using an LV200 microscope with an UPlanFLN 40 × oil objective lens and an ImagEM EM-CCD camera. The binning of the EM-CCD camera was 1 × 1 (512 × 512 pixels), EM-gain was 1024, and exposure time was 300 msec (A, D), 500 msec (B), and 1 sec (C). Furimazine, 12.5 μM. Scale bar = 20 μm. This figure was quoted from Ogoh et al. (2014) and Suzuki et al. (2016a) with open access terms and conditions of Wiley and IntechOpen.

Development of bright and multi-color luciferase

In addition to the developments of low-light imaging cameras and microscopes, the development of bright luciferases also greatly contributed to bioluminescence microscopy, and color variants of luciferase further allowed to diversify bioluminescence microscopy in a manner similar to fluorescence microscopy. Since the initial cloning of the firefly luciferase gene, luciferase genes from many kinds of beetles (Coleoptera) have been cloned. The Jamaican click beetle, Pyrophorus plagiophalam has two sets of light organs. A pair of light organs on the dorsal surface of the prothorax and a single light organ in the ventral cleft of the abdomen emit yellowish green and orange luminescence, respectively. Four types of luciferase clones were isolated using the color of luminescence (green to orange) emitted by the light organs (Wood et al., 1989). Dual-color luciferase vectors (green and red color) were developed and released by Promega (Chroma-Luc vectors). Viviani et al. (1998a) also cloned luciferase genes (Fig. 3A), the mitochondrial targeting sequence (subunit VIII of human cytochrome C oxidase, CoxVIII) (Fig. 3B), the endoplasmic reticulum resident protein, and the calreticulin with KDEL retrieval sequence (Fig. 3C) or no targeting sequence (Fig. 3D) with an exposure time from 300 msec to 1 sec in U2OS cells. Thus, the nucleus and cytoplasm were discriminated clearly, and mitochondria and the endoplasmic reticulum were observed in the cytoplasm (Ogoh et al., 2014; Suzuki et al., 2016a).
that emit green and red color luminescence from the Brazilian railroad warms, *Phrixothrix vivanti* and *P. hirtus*, respectively. Moreover, triple-color luciferase vectors (green, orange, and red color) were developed and released by Toyobo (Tripluc vectors; Osaka, Japan). Furthermore, a luciferase gene that displayed the most blue-shifted spectrum (λ.max=538 nm) among the beetles was cloned from the Brazilian click beetle, *Pyrearinus termitilluminans* (Viviani et al., 1999b). It was optimized for bioluminescence imaging (Nakajima et al., 2010), which was 10-fold brighter than firefly luciferase and released by Toyobo (Emerald Luc vectors). Five luciferase genes were cloned from Japanese and Malaysian fireflies and modified for bioluminescence imaging, which emit a yellowish green to orange color luminescence and were 2- to 12-fold brighter than firefly luciferase, Luc2 (Promega). These were deposited at RIKEN BioResource Research Center (Tsukuba, Japan) under deposition numbers RDB14359-14363. Meanwhile, red-shifted firefly luciferase was developed and used to accomplish a video-rate *in vivo* bioluminescence imaging from neurons in the striatum of marmoset brain with D-luciferin substrate modification (Iwano et al., 2018).

In contrast to the beetle luciferase, which requires D-luciferin as a substrate, a luciferase originating from marine organisms requires coelenterazine and emits blue color luminescence. *Renilla* from a sea pansy was fused to yellow fluorescent protein (YFP) and used to construct a bioluminescence resonance energy transmittance (BRET) system (Hoshino et al., 2007). The fusion protein emitted yellow luminescence by BRET and was 4-fold brighter than the original *Renilla* luciferase by improving the quantum yield of light emission. Saito et al. (2012) constructed Nano-lantern, which is a chimera of enhanced *Renilla* luciferase and Venus (enhanced YFP) with a high BRET efficiency. It was 12-fold brighter than the *Renilla* luciferase, and its color derivatives allowed for the bioluminescent sensing of Ca²⁺, cAMP and adenosine 5'-triphosphate (ATP) within live single cells in the same manner as in fluorescence microscopy (Takai et al., 2015; Suzuki et al., 2016b).

NanoLuc luciferase (Promega), obtained from the deep-sea shrimp, *Oplophorus gracilior* was 150-fold brighter than firefly luciferase with the modified coelenterazine, furimazine (Hall et al., 2012). Based on the NanoLuc, Robers et al. (2015) and Dixon et al. (2016) developed a protein-fragment complementation (PCA) system using circular permuted large and small fragments (NanoBRET, NanoBiT, HiBiT; Promega). It allowed not only for low-level gene expression imaging but also for protein-protein interaction imaging in live cells.

**Advantages and limitations of bioluminescence microscopy**

Since bioluminescence microscopy requires no light excitation, it is substantively different from fluorescence microscopy. Firstly, bioluminescence microscopy has none of the autofluorescence problems associated with fluorescence microscopy which include a high background noise, a low signal/noise ratio, and low quantitativity. In fact, it was demonstrated that the autofluorescence of *Drosophila* embryo can cause problems during fluorescence microscopy (Akiyoshi et al., 2014). Secondly, bioluminescence microscopy is less phototoxic to live cells during their observation, since one of causes of the phototoxicity is due to generation of reactive oxygen species from fluorescent dyes by light irradiation (Icha et al., 2017). Therefore, bioluminescence microscopy permits non-lethal and long-term observation of live cells and is suitable for cell differentiation and embryonic studies. Thirdly, bioluminescence microscopy is suitable for the observation of light-sensitive cells, such as opsin-expressing cells (Sugiyama et al., 2014) or retina cells, as there is no need to consider the effect of fluorescent dye excitation light on photo-stimulation.

However, bioluminescence microscopy requires a substrate. Although the substrate is derived from the biological components of luminous organisms (firefly or sea pansy), a high concentration of substrate can affect cell viability. As such, it is necessary to consider the optimal concentration of substrate according to cell types and luciferase characteristics (Suzuki et al., 2017).

Although bioluminescence microscopy has been achieved by improving the imaging devices and luciferases, the emission intensity from cells remains lower than that obtained using fluorescence microscopy. Therefore, the spatio-temporal resolution of bioluminescence microscopy is limited compared to fluorescence microscopy.

Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of bioluminescence and fluorescence microscopies and highlights the use of bioluminescence microscopy as a powerful tool in cellular biology as well as its use as a complementary strategy to fluorescence microscopy.

**Recent applications in developmental biology**

*Akiyoshi et al. (2014)* constructed a transgenic *Drosophila*

Table 1: Substantive differences between bioluminescence and fluorescence microscopy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bioluminescence</th>
<th>Fluorescence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Excitation energy</strong></td>
<td>Chemical reaction</td>
<td>Photon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Auto-fluorescence</strong></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Affected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Photo-toxicity</strong></td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Affected</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Long term observation</strong></td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Observation of light-sensitive cells</strong></td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Brightness of image</strong></td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spatio-temporal resolution</strong></td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
carrying armadillo (arm) and luciferase fusion gene using a Gal4-UAS system. The arm is a segment polarity gene and encodes the mammalian homologue of β-catenin in mammals, which is a key mediator of the Wnt signaling pathway (Peifer et al., 1991) as well as being involved in cell–cell adhesion (Peifer et al., 1993). Although the arm expression has been characterized during the early stage of embryogenesis using mRNA in situ hybridization (Riggleman et al., 1989), Akiyoshi et al. (2014) demonstrated the continuous expression of arm throughout the entire process of embryogenesis using bioluminescence microscopy, and found that its expression was dramatically increased in the anterior midgut rudiment, the myoblasts of the dorsal/lateral musculature, and the posterior spiracle after stage 13 (Fig. 4), as well as in the cephalic region just before hatching at stage 17. Furthermore, they also found an arm expression pattern in embryos treated with ionomycin or 6-bromoindirubin-3-oxime (BIO), an inhibitor and an activator of Wnt/β-catenin signaling, respectively.

Chick
Sonic hedgehog (SHH) is expressed in the polarizing region of the vertebrate embryo and is essential for the anterior-posterior patterning of the limb bud (Tickle and Towers, 2017). Morishita et al. (2015) constructed a tissue deformation map of the chick limb development to characterize the geometrical tissue growth modes based on tissue morphology, cell proliferation, and SHH signaling activity using bright field, fluorescence, and bioluminescence microscopies, respectively. They found that SHH signaling activity changed dynamically through the developmental stage and growth mode shift, and demonstrated that anisotropic tissue deformation along the proximal-distal axis occurred independently of cell proliferation.

Zebrafish
The Wnt/β-catenin signaling gradient acts as a morphogen to determine the embryonic anterior-posterior axis in mammals (Huelsken et al., 2000). Akieda et al. (2019) identified unfit cells that appear spontaneously with abnormal Wnt/β-catenin activity and produce noise in the signaling gradient in zebrafish. These unfit cells were eliminated by apoptosis with Smad signaling and reactive oxygen species production via the communication of unfit cells with neighbouring fit cells using cadherin. Thus, the Wnt/β-catenin signaling gradient was established by elimination of the unfit cells. In this study, the real-time imaging of β-catenin gene expression in live embryos using bioluminescence microscopy contributed substantially to these findings.

Perspectives
As shown in the previous “Recent applications in developmental biology” section, the real-time imaging of gene expression (promoter activity) during embryogenesis in a single specimen using bioluminescence microscopy contributes substantially to the elucidation of the phenomena and the interpretation of the mechanisms of developmental biology. Since the spatio-temporal resolution of real-time bioluminescence microscopy is limited, it is compensated by fluorescence microscopy using fixed tissue as the end point analysis. Therefore, the combination of bioluminescence and fluorescence microscopy in live cells or embryo is required. Goda et al. (2015, 2017) developed a method that combines bioluminescence and fluorescence microscopy by avoiding autofluorescence from D-luciferin, and showed the sequential imaging of the same cells from a signal transduction event (PKC translocation from cytosol to plasma membrane) using fluorescence microscopy to gene expression regulated by nuclear factor kappa-light polypeptide B (NF-κB) using bioluminescence microscopy, together with the cell morphology using bright field microscopy. However, problems with autofluorescence remained in the sample.

The transcriptome analysis using microarray or RNA sequencing methods has revealed that a large number of genes are being expressed, and even the expression of all genes at once, as well as the activation of signal pathways can be specified based on the specific expressing gene groups, with a huge amount of data in biological systems analysis (Luo et al., 2009). Meanwhile, bioluminescence microscopy allows for the visualization of real-time genes expression patterns, together with the morphological changes of cells or tissues as images. However, the number of genes shown is limited. Recently, a multi-omics method based on the workflow of systems biology has allowed for the integrated analysis of multiple-layer of organisms, from genes and expression to signaling pathways and metabolism (Ptnu et al., 2019). If image data of the phenotype layer can be incorporated into multi-omics analysis, bioluminescence microscopy could also be used for the integrated analysis of transcriptome and phenotype (morphogenesis or embryogenesis) layers.
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